Interaction between particulate mater characteristics and atmospheric boundary height over Sofia based on case studies

Plamen Savov[1], Nikolay Kolev[(1, 2)], Ekaterina Batchvarova[3][0000-0002-1293-9440],

Hristina Kirova[4], Maria Kolarova[4]

[1] Department of Physics, University of Mining and Geology "St. Ivan Rilski", Studenski grad, Prof. Boyan Kamenov str. 1, 1700 Sofia, Bulgaria, *psavov@mgu.bg*

[2] Institute of Electronics - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (IE-BAS), 72 Tsarigradsko shose blvd., 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria, *nic_k@abv.bg*

[3] Climate, Atmosphere and Water Research Institute - Bulgarian Academy of Sciences (CAWRI-BAS), 66 Tsarigradsko shose blvd., 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria, *ekbatch@gmail.com*

[4] National Institute of Meteorology and Hydrology (NIMH), 66 Tsarigradsko shose blvd., 1784 Sofia, Bulgaria, *hristina.kirova@meteo.bg; maria.kolarova@meteo.bg*

Abstract: The air quality of the city of Sofia is result of a complex interplay between anthropogenic and natural factors. In the present paper the aerosol pollution of Sofia is investigated through case studies during different seasons of 2019 – two days in spring, four in summer and four in winter. Experimental campaigns for particle concentrations add more extensive knowledge on the distribution and levels of the main problematic pollutants, such as PM. Laser particle counter measurements near an intensive traffic boulevard are presented and discussed. The daily variation with high temporal resolution (10-15 min) of aerosol particle concentrations (number and mass) in channels 0-2.5 μm and 2.5-10 μm are analyzed together with meteorological conditions and results from WRF-GDAS, HYSPLIT and BSC dust models. The influence of long-range transport of dust on the aerosol concentrations is assessed.

Keywords: air quality, aerosol concentration, atmospheric boundary layer, particle number concentrations, WRF-GDAS, HYSPLIT, NMMB-BSC-Dust

1 Introduction

The Atmospheric Boundary Layer (ABL) height determines the volume in which different gaseous and aerosol pollutants are mixed due to turbulent processes within the atmosphere. To model the ABL height in urban environments is additional challenge due to the specific physical and chemical characteristics which play important role for pollutant dispersion, climate comfort, and weather forecasting (Avolio et al., 2017; Batchvarova et al., 2006; Batchvarova et al., 2011; Batchvarova et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2011; Rotach et al., 2005; Savov et al., 2019). The ABL structure in urban environment is very complex due to the built environment, which requires studies on surface layer meteorology and dispersion of pollutants (Batchvarova & Gryning, 2005; Batchvarova & Rotach, 2005; Gryning & Batchvarova, 2005; Batchvarova & Gryning, 2006). Specific studies on the aerosol pollution for Sofia city, including remote sensing measurements, are presented in (Kirova & Batchvarova, 2017; Kolev et al., 2016; Savov et al., 2016; Kolev et al., 2019). The combination of models and particle counter measurements provides comprehensive information on both the aerosols concentration characteristics in the urban atmosphere as well as about the vertical structure of aerosol layers and meteorological parameters determining the transport of air mass (Ngan et al., 2015; Stein et al., 2015; Su et al., 2015).

2 Methodology

2.1 Experimental site and instruments

A two-channel BQ20 (TROTEC, Germany) laser particle counter (LPC) with channel 1 (0 - 2.5 µm) and channel 2 (2.5 - 10 µm) denoted further in the paper as PM2.5 and PM10, respectively, was used to measure instantly the number of particles and their mass with time step 10 or 15 minutes. The sampling rate was 0.9 l/min. The accuracy of the devices was in the range of 15-20%. Measurements were performed in a green area near bus stop Pliska, 30 m North of Blvd. “Tsarigradsko shose”, one of the largest boulevards in Sofia with intensive traffic of cars and busses allowed with speed limit of 80 km/h. Meteorological data with 30 minutes time resolution from Sofia airport automatic weather station were used.

2.2 Descriptions of case studies

Measurements performed in 2019 are discussed. Two spring days (23 and 31 March), characterized with high pressure and sunshine duration of 10-12 hours were chosen. Air temperature ranged between minimum of 0 C and maximum of 15 C, suggesting stable boundary layer and accumulation of air pollution in the morning.

Four typical summer days (7 and 8 June; 26 and 27 July), characterized by relatively calm anticyclone weather were included. The maximal temperature in June days reached 25-30 C and sunshine duration (*hours per day*) was 8 and 14 hours, correspondingly. The July days were hot with temperature reaching 34 C. The daily sunshine was 14 hours on 26 July and 10 hours on the next day.

The first two of the winter days (18 – 19 December) were characterized with anticyclone conditions and persistent fog suggesting high level of pollution. On December 20 transport of warmer air masses from SW cleared the fog. December 21 was a day with foehn wind and wind gusts of 23 m/s. The temperatures reached 10-15 C.

2.3 Models applied

WRF-GDAS (Weather Research and Forecasting Model - Global Data Assimilation System) model was applied to analyze current atmospheric conditions and to obtain the atmospheric boundary layer height (ABLH). The simulation results (GDAS meteorological data) were obtained from READY Web Server of NOAA ARL (https://www.ready.noaa.gov/).

Results from the Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory model (HYSPLIT, http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.htm), a Lagrangian dispersion model, coupled (online) to WRF in such a way that the HYSPLIT calculation is run as part of the WRF-ARW prediction calculation (Ngan et al., 2015; Lin et al., 2015) were used. The embedded HYSPLIT includes dispersion, trajectories, deposition (dry and wet), etc. (Chen et al., 2011; Stein et al., 2015; Rolph et al., 2017). The HYSPLYT trajectories allowed to analyze the origin of air masses reaching Sofia on the case studies days.

The NMMB/BSC-Dust model (https://ess.bsc.es/bsc-dust-daily-forecast), developed in the Earth Sciences Department to simulate and/or predict the atmospheric cycle of mineral dust at BSC was used to assess the long-range transport of dust originating from North Africa over the area of Sofia.

3 Results and Discussions

3.1 Spring cases

The spring case study days were both typical anticyclone spring days with morning temperature close to 0 C, no clouds, long sunshine duration and transition from stable to convective atmospheric boundary layer (ABL).

The number of PM10 on 23 March 2019 (Saturday) changed from 35 N/l in the morning to 15 N/l in the afternoon and of PM2.5 from 550 to 200 N/l (Fig. 1 left). The corresponding mass concentrations for PM10 ranged from 45 in the morning to 20 μg/m^3 in the afternoon and for PM2.5 from 20 μg/m^3 to 5-10 μg/m^3 (Fig. 1 right).



Fig. 1. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 23 March 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

The modelled ABLH on this day was 1000 m at 12 LT and reached maximum of 1350 m at 15 LT, showing favorable dispersion conditions. The wind rose from GDAS was from N-NW and 1-4 m/s (Fig. 2 left). The HYSPLIT trajectories showed northerly flow for levels 1500 – 2000 m and NNW for level 1000 m (Fig. 2 right).



Fig. 2. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 23 March 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

The number of PM10 on 31 March 2019 (Sunday) changed from 50 N/l in the morning to 10 N/l in the afternoon, and of PM2.5 from 700 N/l to 200 N/l (Fig. 3 left). The corresponding mass concentrations for PM10 were from 60 μg/m^3 in the morning to 20 μg/m^3 and for PM2.5 from 30 μg/m^3 to 5 μg/m^3 after 11 LT (Fig. 3 right).



Fig. 3. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 31 March 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

The abrupt threefold increase in mass concentrations at about 10:30 LT was probably caused by short time local sources. It can be noted, that PM10 and PM2.5 (both mass and number concentrations) changed with time in the same way, a feature not observed on 23 March. GDAS meteorological conditions showed persistent wind speed in the range 1-4 m/s and wind direction from NE (Fig. 4 left). The ABLH was again 1000 m at 12 LT and grew to 1300 m at 15 LT (Fig. 4 right).



Fig. 4. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 31 March 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

During both spring days, the measured concentrations were lower than the sanitary norms, as well as than the diurnal PM10 values at the air quality monitoring stations in the eastern districts of Sofia. The stable conditions in the morning and the growth of the convective ABL towards the afternoon caused decrease of the PM concentrations from the morning till afternoon. Both days were weekend days, and so the traffic intensity was expected to be equally distributed with time.

3.2 Summer cases

The summer days with particle measurements were characterized with anticyclone weather and classical daily growth of the ABL reaching 1800-1900 m in cloudless conditions. The maximal temperature on June 7 reached 25 C as cumulus clouds development of in the afternoon and sunshine duration was 8 hours. On June 8, the temperature rose up to 30 C the duration of sunshine was 14 hours. The July days were hot with temperature reaching 34 C. The daily sunshine was 14 hours on 26 July and 10 hours on the next day.

The number of PM10 on 7 June 2019 (Friday) changed from 20 N/l in the morning hours to 10 N/l in the afternoon and of PM2.5 from 250 to 100 N/l (Fig. 5 left). The corresponding mass concentrations for PM10 were from 25-30 μg/m^3 to 7 μg/m^3 in the afternoon and for PM2.5 from 10-12 μg/m^3 to 5 μg/m^3 (Fig. 5 right).



Fig. 5. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 7 June 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

GDAS Boundary Layer Depth (Zi) over Sofia is 1200 - 1300 m (Fig. 6 left). The model suggests prevailing wind from N (1-4 m/s) from 9 to 15 LT. HYSPLIT Backward trajectories of 1000, 2000 and 3000 m ending in Sofia at 12UTC on June, 7 2019 are shown in Fig. 6 right. Transport of air masses from Morocco and Sahara Desert at altitude of 3 km can be traced.



Fig. 6. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 7 June 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

NMMB/BSC Dust (Dust Forecast at 06UTC Friday 07 June) gives a higher concentration in the layer between 3 and 6 km height with maximum of about 20 μg/m^3 at 4 km over Sofia (Fig. 7 left). This intrusion of dust, likely, did not influence the measured aerosol concentrations in the urban surface layer. LON-Height cross-section and LAT-Height cross-section present the distribution of dust concentration across North Africa and Europe (Fig. 7 right). The dashed line indicates the position of Sofia.



Fig. 7. NMMB/BSC Dust Forecast Concentrations (μg/m^3) at 06 UTC on 7 June: vertical profile (left) and LON&LAT-Height cross-section (right).

Measurements on 8 June 2019 (Saturday) show that the number of PM10 is around 20 N/l with max of 25 N/l from 9:30 to 10:30 LT and for PM2.5 the numbers are 220 N/l in the early morning hours, increasing to 300-350 N/l from 9:30 to 10:30 LT, and then fall to 175 N/l after 12 LT (Fig. 8 right. The corresponding mass concentrations for PM10 are from 15 to 30 μg/m^3. For PM2.5 the concentrations are around 10 μg/m^3 before 12 LT and around 5 μg/m^3 in the early afternoon (Fig. 8 left).



Fig. 8**.** Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 8 June 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

GDAS gives for ABL height for Sofia maximum of 1900 m with unstable (B-C) Pasquill Stability Class at 15 LT (Fig. 9 left). GDAS wind speed for Sofia is 7 m/s in the morning hours and 1-4 m/s after 11 LT from NW. The HYSPLIT Backward trajectories of 1000, 1500 and 2000 m ending in Sofia at 12 UTC on June, 8 2019 are shown in Fig. 9 right. The transport of air masses at the three levels is from different areas. The trajectory of 2000 m starts from NE Sahara Desert.



Fig. 9. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 8 June 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

NMMB/BSC Dust (Dust Forecast at 06 UTC Sat 08 June) shows a higher concentration of about 20 µg/m3 in the layer below 2 km, Fig 10 right. This suggests that long-range transport of dust may influence the surface PM concentrations in Sofia in the afternoon hours when ABL reaches the height of dust intrusion. The LON&LAT-Height cross-sections present different distribution of dust concentration compared to the previous day (Fig. 10 right).



Fig. 10. NMMB/BSC Dust Forecast Concentrations (µg/m^3) at 06 UTC on 8 June: vertical profile (left) and LON&LAT-Height cross-section (right).

The number of PM10 on 26 July 2019 (Friday) changes between 10 N/l before 13 LT and 5 N/l later on; PM2.5 range between 150-200 N/l and 70 N/l following the PM10 variation (Fig. 11 left). The corresponding mass concentrations for PM10 range from 10 to 25 µg/m^3 (15 µg/m^3 in average) in the morning and falls to 5-7 µg/m^3 in the afternoon; PM2.5 varies in the same way from 5 μg/m^3 to less than 2 μg/m^3 (Fig. 11 right).



Fig. 11. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 26 July 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

The atmospheric boundary layer height (Boundary Layer Depth Zi (m)) from GDAS is 1900 m, the wind is from NW with speed in the range 1-4 m/s. HYSPLIT Backward trajectories show flow from NW at 1000-2000 m.



Fig. 12. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 26 July 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

The aerosol concentrations are twice higher and the variation differs significantly on 27 July compared to the previous day: PM10 peak values are 35 N/l around 9 LT and falls to 5 N/l at 14 LT; PM2.5 ranges between 500 N/l and 100 N/l following the PM10 variation (Fig. 13 left). The corresponding mass concentrations vary in the same way with peaks around 9 LT and a secondary peak around 13 LT. PM10 mass concentration ranges from 40 to 5 μg/m^3 and PM2.5 from 15 to 2 μg/m^3 (Fig. 13 right).



Fig. 13. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 27 July 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

Although the Boundary Layer Depth on July 27 reached maximum of 1900 m, the weather was cloudy with sun duration about 10 h and prevailing neutral stratification (Fig. 14 left). The wind speed was in the range 1-4 m/s. The wind direction near the ground, as well as the trajectories 1000 to 2000 m were from NW (Fig. 14 right).



Fig. 14. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 27 July 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

During all summer days, the measured concentrations are lower than the sanitary norms, as well as at all sites of the air quality monitoring stations in the eastern districts of Sofia. The height of the convective ABL reaches 1350 m on June 7 and 1900 m on the other 3 case study days. On 7 June the morning rush hour concentration peak is at 10 LT and is strongly pronounced. On 8 June (Saturday), high concentrations remain between 9 and 12 LT. Secondary increase is noted at 13 and 14 LT. On 26 July (Friday) the morning rush hour peak is not well pronounced, compared to 27 July. The changes with time on both days differ, since the decrease towards noon on 27 July is sharp. On both days a secondary peak is observed. The meteorological conditions on both days are characterized with flow from NW. The cloudy conditions on 27 July, as well as accumulation of aerosol in the residual layer of 26 July could be the reason for the twice higher concentrations.

3.3 Winter cases

During the period 18-20 December 2019 anticyclone synoptic circulation prevailed causing low wind speed from SW-S, morning fog conditions in the valley and low stratus clouds. The sun shine duration in Sofia on these days was 6, 4 and 4 hours, correspondingly, while the weather in the mountains was clear and sunny. In the valley the surface was snow free and temperature ranged between 0 and 10 C. Starting on 20 December, the weather changed to cyclone circulation bringing warmer southwesterly flow. On 21 December, strong foehn wind from S-SW was registered in Sofia, reaching 16 m/s, gusts up to 23 m/s and high temperatures of 15 C.

Measurements on 18 December (Wednesday) were performed from 8 to 14 LT under heavy fog conditions and relative humidity of 100 %. PM10 was 200 N/l until 10 LST and decreased twice in the afternoon. PM2.5 was 4500 N/l in the early morning hours, reached maximum of 5000-5500 N/l around 10 LT (related to morning rush hours and fog) and gradually decreased to 2000 N/l after 12 LT (Fig. 15 left). The PM10 mass concentration (Fig. 15 right) was from 220 μg/m^3 at 8 LT, with max of 250-260 μg/m^3 at 9:30-10:30 LT and 100 μg/m^3 in the early afternoon. The PM2.5 concentrations showed similar behavior, starting from 140 μg/m^3 before 9 LT, reaching maximum of 160-170 μg/m^3 at 10:30 LT and decreasing to 60 μg/m^3 in the afternoon.



Fig. 15. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 18 December 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

The fog on 18 December was related to stable stratification and maximal Boundary Layer Depth of 200 m (Fig. 16 left). Southerly wind in the range 1-4 m/s was given by GDAS. The trajectories 200 to 1000 m were from SW (Fig. 16 right).



Fig. 16. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 18 December 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

Measurements on 19 December (Thursday) were performed from 7 to 12 LT. The stable stratification and fog persisted leading to double increase of all maximal particle numbers and mass concentrations compared to the previous day (Fig. 17).



Fig. 17. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 19 December 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

GDAS ABL height over Sofia was below 200 m, stratification was stable to very stable (Fig. 18 left), and wind was of 1-4 m/s constant from S-SE during the day. The 96-hours backward trajectories of height 200, 500 and 1000 m show SW flow originating from Sicily (Fig. 18 right).



Fig. 18. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 19 December 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

The particle number and mass concentration measurements on 20 December (Friday) showed distinct decrease and different from the changes with time of the previous two days. The PM2.5 mass concentration started from 70 µg/m^3 in the early morning and reached a minimum of 30 µg/m^3 between 10 and 11 LT, related to the destruction of the fog. The values increased again between 11:30 and 12:30 LT to 80 µg/m^3, probably related with shorter working day and increased traffic of cars leaving Sofia for the following 6 days of Christmas holydays. The PM10 concentrations showed similar to PM2.5 behaviour, but with higher values, starting from 100 µg/m^3 in the morning, diminishing to 70 µg/m^3 and growing again to 120 µg/m^3 after 11 LT. After 12:30 LT the concentrations slowly decrease (Fig. 19 right).



Fig. 19. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 20 December 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (µg/m^3).

On 20 December the synoptic conditions started to change to multi-centered low-pressure structure over the Balkan Peninsula. The transport of warm air masses from SW (Fig. 4 right) caused increase of temperatures. NMMB/BSC Dust Forecast model

(Fig. 21) indicates dust concentration of 50 µg/m^3 at 4000 m, showing long-range transport, which remains far above the ABL (maximal of 450 m) over Sofia (Figure 20 left).



Fig. 20. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 20 December 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).



Fig. 21. NMMB/BSC Dust - Dust Forecast at 06 UTC Fri 20 December and Dust Concentrations (µg/m^3) for Sofia (LAT = 42.67 N ; LON = 23.30E) ?

The PM10 and PM2.5 concentrations (Fig. 22) show peculiarities related to the new meteorological situation on 21 December (Saturday), starting with low morning concentrations of 10 - 20 µg/m^3 for PM2.5 and of 30 - 45 µg/m^3 for PM10 registered before 10 LT. After 10 LT the concentrations rapidly increase twice to values of 55 µg/m^3 and 95 µg/m^3, correspondingly (Fig. 22 right). After 10:30 LT the concentrations decrease slowly reaching values of 25 µg/m^3 for PM2.5 and 55 µg/m^3 for PM10. This behavior is possibly related to short time wind gust situation during the peak period when large amounts of dust are lifted from the ground surface. It is interesting to note that PM10 number concentration increases twice and PM2.5 three times for the period 10-10:30 LT (Fig. 22 left). This peak cannot be explained with high transport traffic, because of its very short duration.



Fig. 22. Daily variations in PM2.5 and PM10 on 21 December 2019, measured as (left) particles per liter (N/l) and (right) concentration mass (μg/m^3).

GDAS gives neutral stratification and maximal Boundary Layer Depth of 600 m over Sofia and (Fig. 23 left) and transport of air masses from S and SW (Fig. 23 right).



Fig. 23. Model results for Sofia (LAT 42.65; LON 23.38) on 21 December 2019: GDAS Stability plot (left) and HYSPLIT Backward trajectories (right).

The last 2 days of the winter experimental campaign are peculiar in view of particle concentrations and show the dynamic interplay between meteorology and emission sources, which determines the air quality in the city.

3.4 Common analysis

In order to assess the contribution of different meteorological factors defining the level of PM concentrations, the ratio of maximal/minimal values for all days are given in Table 1.

It can be noted that the measured PM2.5 particle number is higher on Saturday, 8 June, despite the expected lower traffic contribution during weekends and deeper ABL. Furthermore, the ratio highest/lowest values is smaller on 8 June (350/100 N/l), compared to the ratio (250/50 N/l) on 7 June. Possible reason for these differences is the long-range transport contribution to the surface particle concentrations according to Dust model on 8 June.

Different phenomenon, as accumulation of aerosol pollution during sequent days, was observed on 27 July, Sunday, when the concentrations were twice higher compared to the previous day, due to influence of the residual layer and cloudy conditions. Both days were hot summer weekend days with ABLH of 1900 m.

Table 1. PM10 and PM2.5 ratios of maximal/minimal concentrations

Day	PM10 mass max/min	PM10 number max/min	PM2.5 mass max/min	PM2.5 number max/min
23 Mar/Sat	50/15	40/15	20/5	550/180
31 Mar/Sun	140/20	50/10	70/5	750/150
7 Jun/Fri*	30/5	20/5	12/2	250/50
8 Jun/Sat*	30/15	30/10	10/5	350/100
26 Jul/Fri	23/4	15/4	8/2	200/70
27 Jul/Sat	40/5	36/2	16/2	520/50
18 Dec/Wed	260/80	200/100	170/50	5500/2000
19 Dec/Thu	600/150	400/100	375/100	11000/3000
20 Dec/Fri*	120/70	100/50	70/30	1700/1100
21 Dec/Sat	100/10	90/20	40/10	1400/300

*Days with long range transport of dust

Accumulation of air pollution due to persistent stable stratification conditions was observed for the winter case study days (18-19 December). These days were the most polluted of the year 2019, characterised with PM concentrations twice higher than the 24-hour sanitary norm in the eastern districts of Sofia. In the lower districts the city, the excess was 3-4 times. During these fog days the number of small particles is very high and the ratio between the maximal numbers of particles in the two channels (P2.5/PM10) is much higher compared to other days.

The last 2 days of the winter experimental campaign are peculiar in view of particle concentrations and show the dynamic interplay between meteorology and emission sources, which determines the air quality in the city.

The spring days are characterized with higher maximal number concentrations compared to summer, because of the stronger and longer existence of stable stratification in the morning, although the convective boundary layer height is comparable.

4 Conclusions

This study presents experimental results concerning the daily distribution of the aerosol particles in the urban environment and the correlation to the synoptic situations, meteorological parameters and ABL height. The analysis is based on case studies during 2 spring, 4 summer and 4 winter days of aerosol particles measurements (mass and number concentrations) with aerosol laser particle counters in channels (0 - 2.5 μm) and (2.5 - 10 μm) denoted as PM2.5 and PM10.

In summer, the ABL is high and the observed concentration of aerosol particles is under the sanitary norms. On 8 June, the particles mass concentrations change two times from maximal to minimal values, while on 7 June this ratio is 6. The differences might be explained with the contribution of long range transport of dust. The observed maximums on both days are possibly related to intensive transport traffic along the Tsarigradsko shose in the morning hours. The twice higher concentrations on July 27 compared to July 26, could be explained with the effect of accumulation of aerosol due to meteorological conditions.

In winter, the ABL height is low and the observed concentrations of aerosol particles are higher than the norms for 18 and 19 December. The maximal values are 3 times higher than the minimal and are related to fog conditions, pollution accumulation and intensive transport traffic along the Tsarigradsko shose in the morning hours. Typical for the fog is the big number of small particles. On 20 and 21 December the concentrations show peculiar changes with time probably related to the beginning of Christmas holidays and rare meteorological conditions due to feohn event.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work is carried out in the framework of the National Science Program "Environmental Protection and Reduction of Risks of Adverse Events and Natural Disasters", approved by the Resolution of the Council of Ministers № 577/17.08.2018 and supported by the Ministry of Education and Science (MES) of Bulgaria (Agreement № D01-230/06.12.2018).

The contribution of Ekaterina Batchvarova is also supported by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria, Contract KP-06-N34/1 of 30.09.2020 "Natural and anthropogenic factors of climate change – analyzes of global and local periodical components and long-term forecasts".

The contributions of Maria Kolarova and Hristina Kirova are supported by the project DN04/7 of 16.12. 2016 (Study of the PBL structure and dynamics over complex terrain and urban area), funded by the National Science Fund of Bulgaria.

The authors gratefully acknowledge the NOAA Air Resources Laboratory (ARL) for the provision of the WRF-GDAS Model and for the provision of the HYSPLIT transport and dispersion model (https://www.ready.noaa.gov/). Some data and images used in the publication are from the NMMB/BSC-Dust model, operated by the Barcelona Supercomputing Center (http://www.bsc.es/ess/bsc-dust-daily-forecast/).

References

1. Avolio, E., Federico, S., Miglietta, M., Lo Feudo, T., Calidonna, C., & Sempreviva, A. (2017). Sensitivity analysis of WRF model PBL schemes in simulating boundary layer variables in southern Italy: An experimental campaign. Atmospheric Research, 192, 58–71.
2. Batchvarova, E., Calidonna, C., Kolarova, M., Ammoscato, I., Barantiev, D., Hristova, E., Kirova, H., Neykova, R., Savov, P., Kolev, N., Torcasio, C.R., Avolio, E., Gulli, D., Lo Feudo, T., Chianese, E. & Riccio, A. (2019). Meteorology and air pollution experiment at a Black sea coastal site Ahtopol – 2017. 10th Jubilee Conference of the Balkan Physical Union (BPU10), August 2018, Sofia, Bulgaria; Mishonov, T. M. & Varonov, A. M. (Eds). AIP Conference Proceedings 2075, 120001, DOI: 10.1063/1.5091259.
3. Batchvarova, E. & Gryning, S.E. (2005). Advances in urban meteorology modelling. In: NATO ARW, Advances in Air Pollution Modelling for Environmental Security, Farago, I. et al. (Eds), NATO Science Series, IV Earth and Environmental Sciences, Springer, 54, 23-32.
4. Batchvarova, E. & Gryning, S.-E. (2006). Progress in Urban Dispersion Studies. Theor. Appl. Climatol. 84, No. 1-3, 57-67.
5. Batchvarova, E., Gryning, S.-E., Rotach, M. & Christen, A. (2006). Comparison of modelled aggregated turbulent fluxes and measured turbulent fluxes at different heights in an urban area. In: Air pollution modeling and its application XVII, Borrego, C. and Norman, A. (Eds.), Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers (NATO Challenges of Modern Society series) 363-370.
6. Batchvarova, E. & Rotach, M.W. (2005), Bilateral co-operation on urban boundary-layer studies. Turbulence measurements for urban boundary research in Sofia, COST Action 715 Final Report, 2005, Meteorologi applied to urban air pollution problems, Fisher, B., Joffre, S., Kukkonen, J., Piringer, M., Rotach, M., Schatzmann, M. (Eds), ISBN 954-9526-30-5, 185-188.
7. Batchvarova E., Kolarova M., Veleva B., Neykov N., Neitchev P., Videnov P., Gamanov A., & Barantiev D. (2011). The atmospheric boundary layer – parameterizations, observations and applications. (2011) Bul. J. Meteo & Hydro, 16/1, 41-53.
8. Chen, F., Kusaka, H., Bornstein, R., Ching, J., Grimmon, C.S.B., Grossman-Clarke, S., Loridan, T., Manning, K.W., Martilli, A., Miao, S., Sailor, D., Salamanca, F.P., Taha, H., Tewari, M., Wang, X., Wyszogrodzki, A.A., & Zhang, C. (2011). The integrated WRF/urban modelling system: development, evaluation, and applications to urban environmental problems. Int. J. Climatol. 31 (2), 273–288.
9. Gryning, S.E. & Batchvarova, E. (2005). Advances in urban dispersion modelling. NATO ARW Advances. In: Air Pollution Modelling for Environmental Security, Farago, I. et al. (Eds), NATO Science Series, IV Earth and Environmental Sciences, Springer, 54, 243-252.
10. Kirova, H. & Batchvarova, E. (2017). Mesoscale simulation of meteorological profiles during the Sofia Experiment 2003. International Journal of Environment and Pollution, 61, 2, 2017, 134-147. DOI:10.1504/IJEP.10006760
11. Kolev, N., Savov, P., Evgenieva, T., Miloshev, N., Petkov, D., & Donev, E. (2016). Summer measurements of atmospheric boundary layer (ABL), aerosol optical depth (AOD)

and water vapour content (WVC) over Sofia (Bulgaria) 2010–2014. Compt. rend. Acad. bulg. Sci., **69**, 4, 421-429.

12. Kolev, N., Savov, P., Evgenieva, T., Miloshev, N., Gueorguiev, O., Batchvarova, E., Kolarova, M. Danchovski, V., Ivanov, D. & Petkov, D. (2019). Investigation of the atmospheric boundary layer and optical characteristics of the atmospheric aerosols over Sofia in summer 2016, 10th Jubilee International Conference of the Balkan Physical Union, AIP Conference Proceedings 2075, 120004 (2019) https://doi.org/10.1063/1.5091262, Published Online: 26 February 2019.
13. Lin S., Zibing Y., Fung, J.C.H. & Lau, A.K.H. (2015). A comparison of HYSPLIT backward trajectories generated from two GDAS datasets, Science of the Total Environment, 506-507: 527-537.
14. Ngan, F., Stein, A., & Draxler, R. (2015). Inline coupling of WRF–HYSPLIT: Model development and evaluation using tracer experiments. J. Appl. Meteor. Climatol., 54, 1162–1176.
15. Rolph, G., Stein, A., & Stunder, B. (2017). Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem: READY. Environmental Modelling & Software 95, 210-228.
16. Rotach, M. W., Vogt, R., Bernhofer, C., Batchvarova, E., Christen, A., Clappier, A., Feddersen, B., Gryning, S.-E., Martucci, G., Mayer, H., Mitev, V., Oke, T. R., Parlow, E., Richner, H., Roth, Roulet, M., Y.-A., Ruffieux, D., Salmond, J. A., Schatzmann, M. & Voogt, J. A. (2005). BUBBLE – an Urban Boundary Layer Meteorology Project, Theor. Appl. Climatol. 81, 231–261, DOI 10.1007/s00704-004-0117-9.
17. Savov, P., Kolev, N., Evgenieva, Ts., Vatzkitcheva, M., & Danchovski, V. (2016). Correlations between particle number concentrations, boundary layer height, meteorological parameters and urban environments. Compt. rend. Acad. Bulg. Sci., 69, 1, 19-24.
18. Savov, P., Kolev, N., Kolarova, M., Batchvarova, E. & Barantiev, D. (2019). Aerosols, Ozone and CO2 under Sea-Breeze Conditions at a Black Sea Coastal Site. 10th Jubilee Conference of the Balkan Physical Union (BPU10), August 2018, Sofia, Bulgaria; Mishonov, T. M. & Varonov, A. M. (Eds). AIP Conference Proceedings 2075, 120003 DOI: 10.1063/1.5091261.
19. Stein, A., Draxler, R., Rolph, G., Stunder, B., Cohen, M., & Ngan, F. (2015). NOAA'S HYSPLIT Atmospheric transport and dispersion modeling system. Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society, **96**, 12 (DEC. 2015), 2059-2078. Published by: American Meteorological Society.